UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,786	02/24/2004	Moshe E. Matsa	POU920030086US1	3750
23334 7590 12/29/2008 FLEIT GIBBONS GUTMAN BONGINI & BIANCO P.L. ONE BOCA COMMERCE CENTER 551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111			EXAMINER	
			LOVEL, KIMBERLY M	
	551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111 BOCA RATON, FL 33487		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2167	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptoboca@fggbb.com

## Diffice Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	YS, eation.					
## Limber Limber	YS, eation.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	YS, eation.					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DA' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If IN Openido for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. File to period for reply the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 15 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Proposition of Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	YS, eation.					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-8 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	ation.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	s is					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	s is					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	s is					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1. 2. 4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	s is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	.0 10					
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-8 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152	2.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 21-24 are currently pending and claims 3 and 9-20 are canceled.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10 September 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The rejections of **Claims 1, 9, 17 and 24** under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement have been withdrawn as necessitated by applicant's amendments and arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2167

5. Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

6. Claim 1 is directed towards a method for managing configuration data.

According to MPEP § 2106.IV.B, the first step in determining whether a claim recites patent eligible subject matter is to determine whether the claim falls within one of the four statutory categories of invention recited in 35 USC § 101: process, machine, manufacture and composition of matter. The latter three categories define "things" or "products," while a "process" consists of a series of steps or acts to be performed. For purposes of § 101, a "process" has been given a specialized, limited meaning the courts. Based on Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions, a claimed process is patent-eligible under § 101 if: (1) it is tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or (2) it transforms a particular article into a different state or thing." Since the claim fails to meet the requirements mentioned above to place the claim in the statutory category of a process, the claim fails to fall within one of the four statutory categories (i.e., process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter).

Since claims 2, 4-8 and 21-24 are dependent on claim 1 and fail to overcome the deficiencies of claim 1, the claims are rejected on the same grounds as claim 1.

7. To allow for compact prosecution, the examiner will apply prior art to these claims as best understood, with the assumption that applicant will amend to overcome the stated 101 rejections.

Art Unit: 2167

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Claims 1, 2, 3-8 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PGPub 2001/0034771 to Hutsch et al (hereafter Hutsch) in view of US PGPub 2005/0091346 to US PGPub 2005/0091346 to Krishnaswami et al (hereafter Krishnaswami).

Referring to claim 1, Hutsch discloses a method for managing configuration data, the method comprising the steps of:

storing a plurality of configuration values in a hierarchical tree [DOM tree] having a plurality of nodes (see [0327], lines 3-5), a defined structure [strongly typed schema] (see [0418]), and defined data types for the stored configuration values (see [0329]), wherein the plurality of nodes includes at least one inner node [i.e., color] and at least one child node [i.e., red] that is associated with the inner node (see Fig 16A), and wherein some of the nodes are only associated with a set of configuration values while other of the nodes are associated with a combination of a set of configuration values [value] and an identifier [key] associated with at least one application component (see [0158] and [0159]);

registering at least one application component with at least one of the nodes of the tree, based on at least one query [transaction] received from the at least one application component (see [0159]); and

directly notifying the at least one application component [listener] when a configuration value stored in the at least one node is modified [alterations], based on an

addition or change in at least one configuration value that matches the at least one query [transaction] (see [0159]).

Hutsch fails to explicitly disclose the further limitations of wherein at least one configuration value is stored in each node of the plurality of nodes and wherein the at least one node comprises at least one configuration value that dictates how the application component at least one of behaves with and interacts with other application components. Krishnaswami discloses a configuration manager, including the further limitations of wherein at least one configuration value is stored in each node of the plurality of nodes (see [0114]); registering at least one application component directly with at least one of the nodes of the tree (see [0320]),wherein the at least one node comprises at least one configuration value that dictates how the application component at least one of behaves with and interacts with other application components [dependencies] (see [0039] and [0063]); and directly notifying the at least one application component when a configuration value is modified [notification of that change is sent to the application] (see [0320]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the tree and registration concepts of Krishnaswami with the tree of Hutsch. One would have been motivated to do so in order to improve the efficiency of managing applications since it can be cumbersome to do so without a method of understanding their configuration and dependencies (Krishnaswami: see [0002]).

Referring to claim 2, the combination of Hutsch and Krishnaswami (hereafter Hutsch/Krishnaswami) discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the at least one query

Art Unit: 2167

depends on at least one of a location of a configuration value in the tree [value] and a data type of a configuration value (Hutsch: see [0159]).

Referring to claim 4, Hutsch/Krishnaswami discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the at least one application component comprises a plurality of components of an email application (Hutsch: see [0210] and [0316]).

Referring to claim 5, Hutsch/Krishnaswami discloses the method of claim 1, wherein a node further includes a reference to at least one node (Hutsch: see Fig 16A).

Referring to claim 6, Hutsch/Krishnaswami discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the notifying step comprises: modifying at least one configuration value that is associated with the at least one node with which the at least one application component is registered; storing in the hierarchical tree the configuration value that was modified, the configuration value being stored at the at least one node with which the at least one application component is registered; and notifying the at least one application component that the configuration value was modified (Hutsch: see [0159]).

Referring to claim 7, Hutsch/Krishnaswami discloses the method of claim 6, further comprising the step of supplying the configuration value that was modified to the at least one application component (Hutsch: see [0159]).

Referring to claim 8, Hutsch/Krishnaswami discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of supplying at least one of the configuration values stored in the hierarchical tree to the at least one application component (Hutsch: see [0159]).

Referring to claim 21, Hutsch/Krishnaswami discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of configuration values in the hierarchical tree includes all of the

Art Unit: 2167

configuration data values that are required by the at least one application component (Hutsch: see [0158] and [0159]).

Referring to claim 22, Hutsch/Krishnaswami discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of registering at least one application component comprises registering the at least one application component with the at least one inner node (Hutsch: see [0159] and Fig 16A).

Referring to claim 23, Hutsch/Krishnaswami discloses the method of claim 22, wherein the step of directly notifying the at least one application component comprises directly notifying the at least one application component when at least one configuration value associated with at least one of the inner node and the child node that is associated with the inner node is modified, based on an addition or change in the at least one configuration value (Hutsch: see [0159] and Fig 16A).

Referring to claim 24, Hutsch/Krishnaswami discloses the method of claim 1, wherein at least one configuration value in the plurality of configuration values that is associated with a first application component overlaps with another configuration value in the plurality of configuration values that is associated with a second application component, and the at least one configuration value and the other configuration value are nested under a common sub-tree in the tree (Hutsch: see [0159]).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art rejections have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2167

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- US Patent No 6,952,703 titled "Subsystem Application Notification Method in a Centralized Router Database" to Kathail et al [see in particular, column 4, lines 5-17; column 7, lines 46-52; and column 8, lines 30-36]
- US PGPub 2004/0054569 titled "Contextual Computing System" to Pombo et al

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KIMBERLY LOVEL whose telephone number is (571)272-2750. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John R. Cottingham/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167

/Kimberly Lovel/ Examiner Art Unit 2167

18 December 2008 /kml/

Application/Control Number: 10/786,786

Page 10

Art Unit: 2167